

## **CCEA IUCN GUIDEBOOK V2 WORKSHOP REPORT**

The mandate of the CCEA addressed on this workshop was to plan the work ahead required to update the '2008 Canadian Guidebook for the Application of IUCN Protected Areas Categories'.

Difference appears between the 1994 IUCN Guidelines on which the current Canadian version is based, and the newest 2008 Guidelines. The CCEA IUCN working group identified four substantive themes that need to be addressed in the upcoming revisions:

- Update the definition of protected area, explain its principles and related senses in the Canadian context (need to reflect the change and what the words mean)
- Add consideration to applying the categories to marine protected areas(MPA);
- Update the issues related to subsurface rights (Revisit Amman and Barcelona resolutions);
- Add considerations about private governance of protected area, such as those held by various NGO across Canada.

Significant changes between the 1994 and the 2008 IUCN guidelines are twofold. First, governance subcategories are proposed for reporting: government, shared, private, and indigenous people. Both of these changes have to be reflected in the Canadian guidebook update. The second significant update states that class IV protected areas do not require active management. In 1994, active management was associated to class IV areas (protecting specific habitat and species) due their commonly small size but in 2008 it was clarified that this type of management is not necessary.

The marine protected areas provisions to be included in the revision of the Canadian guidebook will be drafted by a CCEA working group will likely be formed by TEC and CCEA members. IUCN marine group just release a "Supplementary Guidelines for applying the IUCN Protected Area Management Categories to Marine Protected Areas". This document will help us to achieve Canadian consideration about MPA.

Resources extraction and exploration are not clearly prohibited in private lands dedicated to protection, as well as in some other 'protected areas'. For all IUCN categories this is now asked. To help address these issues, CCEA has proposed to ask each jurisdictions to write a 'subsurface rights' essay to show how these rights are in use, withdrawal and how it impacts what areas they report as IUCN protected areas, to CARTS. The CCEA will have to develop terms of references for the work and find a consultant to write an integrate paper.

Some private lands meet the IUCN definition of protected areas since the 1994 guidelines and include that governance type. These lands that meet the IUCN criteria, what constitutes "other effective means" in the PA definition". More explanation in the Canadian guidebook will help to recognised and accounting theses area.

To integrate the work outlined above, the CCEA intend to contract a first draft of the revised Guidebook. The CCEA has to develop terms of references for this first draft, as well as coordination the components outlined above.